

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/651,320	08/31/2000	Mayur Maniar	5793.3031	3899		
22852	7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER			
FINNEGAN LLP	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BAYAT, BRADLEY B		
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413		3621			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/651,320	MANIAR ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Bradley B. Bayat	3621

Before t	ne Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Bradley B. Bayat	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILE	ED <u>9/7/05</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.					
this applica places the a Request time period		wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR'41.31; or (3)				
b) The per	The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examine	er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-					
Extensions of time have been filed is t under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) abov	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date he date for purposes of determining the period of ex (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
filing the No	of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp otice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
	sed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They (b) They (c) They appe (d) They	raise new issues that would require further co raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo are not deemed to place the application in be al; and/or present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);					
4. 🔲 The amend	FE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). Idments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
non-allowa	posed or amended claim(s) would be a ble claim(s).	- -	•					
how the ne The status Claim(s) all Claim(s) ot Claim(s) re	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) w or amended claims would be rejected is pro of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: lowed: bjected to: jected: thdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
	OTHER EVIDENCE							
because a	it or other evidence filed after a final action, be oplicant failed to provide a showing of good ar rlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
entered be	it or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🗌 The affida	vit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. X The reque	est for reconsideration has been considered by inuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)					
	·	Politic	la fine?					

I MIMAKY FIXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has fully considered applicant's arguments, however, as detailed in the Final action, the claims as recited do not overcome the prior art rejection. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not persuasive...